

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,716	09/18/2003	Stefan Karlinger	71129	4410	
23872 75	90 İ 1/22/2004		EXAM	INER	
MCGLEW & TUTTLE, PC			DUNWOODY, AARON M		
1 SCARBOROUGH STATION PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-0827			3679		

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/664,716	KARLINGER, STEFAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Aaron M Dunwoody	3679			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address - U			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dayill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON.	imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Se	eptember 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-21 is/are pending in the state of the above claim(s) is/are withdraws. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	•			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
•					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) L Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/29/2004.		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 and 17-21are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent 3934902, McNamee in view of FR 1286787 A.

In regards to claims 1 and 17, McNamee discloses a device (10) for holding a flexible hose (12), having at least one holding area for an at least axial holding of the flexible hose; and at least one one-sided support area surrounding the flexible hose and extending towards a free end of the flexible hose. McNamee does not disclose the support area having a trumpet-shaped extension extending continuously and uniformly towards the free end. FR 1286787 A teaches a support area having a trumpet-shaped extension (12) extending continuously and uniformly towards the free end. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the support area with a trumpet-shaped extension extending continuously and uniformly towards the free end, since a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Art Unit: 3679

In regards to claims 2 and 18, McNamee discloses the holding area having inwardly directed annular ribs (32, 36).

In regards to claims 3 and 19, McNamee discloses the holding area further comprising an application area (28) for applying the device to a holding part (50).

In regards to claims 4 and 20, McNamee discloses the holding part being constructed as a fixing clamp or clip.

Note, the holding part is not part of the claimed invention.

In regards to claim 5, McNamee discloses the section application having an outer annular groove.

In regards to claims 6 and 21, McNamee discloses an inwardly directed lug (threads of 50) of the holding part engages the annular groove.

In regards to claim 7, McNamee discloses a construction with at least two partial shells (half- shells) (22).

In regards to claim 8, McNamee discloses the partial shells being screwed together (when inserted into 50).

Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 3498642, Berger.

In regards to claims 1 and 17, Berger discloses a device (300) for holding a flexible hose (15), having at least one holding area for an at least axial holding of the flexible hose; and at least one one-sided support area surrounding the flexible hose and extending towards a free end of the flexible hose. Berger does not disclose the support

Art Unit: 3679

area having a trumpet-shaped extension extending continuously and uniformly towards the free end. FR 1286787 A teaches a support area having a trumpet-shaped extension (12) extending continuously and uniformly towards the free end. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the support area with a trumpet-shaped extension extending continuously and uniformly towards the free end, since a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

In regards to claims 3 and 19, Berger discloses an application area (34) for applying the device to a holding part.

In regards to claims 4 and 20, Berger discloses the holding part being constructed as a fixing clamp or clip.

Note, the holding part is not part of the claimed invention.

In regards to claim 5, Berger discloses the section application having an outer annular groove (between 34 and 33).

In regards to claims 6 and 21, Berger discloses an inwardly directed lug (see Figure 1) of the holding part engaging the annular groove.

In regards to claim 7, Berger discloses a construction with at least two partial shells (half- shells) (31, 32).

In regards to claim 9, Berger discloses the partial shells being interconnected by snap action devices.

Art Unit: 3679

Claims 1-7, 9-11, 15 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 4907830, Sasa et al.

In regards to claims 1 and 17, Sasa et al disclose a device (4) for holding a flexible hose (6), having at least one holding area for an at least axial holding of the flexible hose; and at least one one-sided support area surrounding the flexible hose and extending towards a free end of the flexible hose. Sasa et al do not disclose the support area having a trumpet-shaped extension extending continuously and uniformly towards the free end. FR 1286787 A teaches a support area having a trumpet-shaped extension (12) extending continuously and uniformly towards the free end. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the support area with a trumpet-shaped extension extending continuously and uniformly towards the free end, since a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

In regards to claims 2 and 18, Sasa et al disclose having inwardly directed annular ribs (5).

In regards to claims 3 and 19, Sasa et al disclose an application area (11) for applying the device to a holding part (3).

In regards to claims 4 and 20, Sasa et al disclose the holding part being constructed as a fixing clamp or clip.

Note, the holding part is not part of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3679

In regards to claim 5, Sasa et al disclose the section application having an outer annular groove.

In regards to claims 6 and 21, Sasa et al disclose an inwardly directed lug of the holding part engaging the annular groove.

In regards to claim 7, Sasa et al disclose a construction with at least two partial shells (half- shells).

In regards to claim 9, Sasa et al disclose the partial shells being interconnected by snap action devices (12).

In regards to claim 10, Sasa et al disclose the partial shells being held together by a closing ring (12).

In regards to claim 11, Sasa et al disclose the closing ring being constructed in one piece.

In regards to claim 15, Sasa et al disclose a cylindrical shoulder for the nonpositive reception of the closing ring in a radial and circumferential direction.

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasa et al in view of FR 1286787 A, and in further view of US patents 2547263, Heimann et al, and 3464307, Wurzel.

In regards to claim 12, Sasa et al in view of FR 1296787 A disclose the claimed invention except for the closing ring being formed by several partial rings. Heimann et al and Wurzel teach a closing ring being formed by several partial rings. As Heimann et al and Wurzel relates to retaining rings, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the closing ring

Art Unit: 3679

as several partial rings, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman*, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

In regards to claim 13, Heimann et al and Wurzel further disclose the partial rings of the closing ring being connectable by snap constructions by snapping in perpendicular to the axis of symmetry.

In regards to claim 14, Heimann et al and Wurzel further disclose rigid, complimentary shapes, engaging behind in the closed position and located on end faces of the partial rings of the closing ring and axial connectability of the shapes and therefore the partial rings.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims above have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 6/29/2004 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 609(B)(2)(i). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3679

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M Dunwoody whose telephone number is 703-306-3436. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P Stodola can be reached on 703-306-5771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aaron M Dunwoody

Examiner Art Unit 3679

.amd